

THE COEXPONENT OF A REGULAR p -GROUP

PAUL J. SANDERS

ABSTRACT. A sharp bound is derived for the nilpotency class of a regular p -group in terms of its coexponent, and is used to show that the number of groups of order p^n with a given fixed coexponent, is independent of n , for p and n sufficiently large. Explicit formulae are calculated in the case of coexponent 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1. For a finite p -group P of order p^n and exponent p^e , we define its coexponent $f(P)$ to be $n - e$.

For a finite p -group P of odd order, it is shown in [14] that $\text{cl}(P) \leq 2f(P)$ and that by excluding the prime 3 as well, the bound $\text{cl}(P) \leq 2f(P) - 1$ holds. The question of whether this bound is best possible was considered in [15], but not resolved, and it was conjectured that for a non-negative integer f it is possible to exclude finitely many primes so that if P is a finite p -group of coexponent f , and p is not one of the excluded primes, then $\text{cl}(P) \leq f + 1$. In the first part of this paper we prove the following theorem which provides an affirmative solution to this conjecture.

Theorem 1. *Let P be a finite p -group where $p > f(P) + 1$. Then $\text{cl}(P) \leq f(P) + 1$.*

Moreover, for each non-negative integer f and prime $p \geq f + 1$, we construct an example of a finite p -group of coexponent f whose nilpotency class is exactly $f + 1$.

In the second part of the paper we investigate the existence of stability for the number of isomorphism classes of groups of order p^n and coexponent f , as p and n vary with f an arbitrary fixed integer greater than 2. The works of Burnside [3], Miller [10] and others (see [10] for a complete list), show that for coexponent 2, the number of isomorphism classes is constant for $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 6$, and in this paper we show the following result.

Theorem 2. *For a fixed integer $f \geq 3$, the number $\Psi_{n,f}^p$ of isomorphism classes of groups of order p^n and coexponent f , depends only on p , for $p > f + 1$ and $n \geq 3f$.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D15.

Partially funded by EPSRC and the Mathematics Institute of the University of Warwick.

The proof of this theorem is constructive and uses the bound on the nilpotency class in Theorem 1 to translate the problem into an equivalent question about p^n -element Lie rings via the Magnus-Lazard Lie ring functors ([8] and [7]). In this setting, explicit calculations are generally easier and in the final part of this paper we show how to derive the following formulae for $\Psi_{n,3}^p$ where $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$.

Theorem 3. *For $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$ we have*

$$\Psi_{n,3}^p = 5p + 2 \gcd(p-1, 3) + \gcd(p-1, 4) + \begin{cases} 59, & n = 7 \\ 61, & n = 8 \\ 62, & n \geq 9 \end{cases}.$$

This paper comprises an improved treatment of some of the material contained in the author's doctoral dissertation [13].

2. REGULAR p -GROUPS AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For a finite p -group P and each non-negative integer i , we define subgroups $\Omega_i(P) = \langle x \in P : x^{p^i} = 1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{U}_i(P) = \langle x^{p^i} : x \in P \rangle$, and P is called **regular** if for any two elements $x, y \in P$ there exists $z \in \mathcal{U}_1(\gamma_2(\langle x, y \rangle))$ with $x^p y^p = (xy)^p z$. Denoting the exponent of a finite p -group P by $p^{\mu(P)}$, we define a sequence of non-negative integers $\omega_1(P), \omega_2(P), \dots, \omega_{\mu(P)}(P)$ by the condition that $p^{\omega_i(P)} = |\Omega_i(P)/\Omega_{i-1}(P)|$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq \mu(P)$. For a regular p -group P of order p^n , this sequence is non-increasing, forms a partition of n , and we denote the dual partition by $\mu_1(P), \mu_2(P), \dots, \mu_{\omega_1(P)}(P)$ and call this sequence the *type invariants* of P . For a regular p -group P , there is a duality between the Ω - and $\mathcal{U}$ -series in that for each i , $1 \leq i \leq \mu(P)$, we have $|\Omega_i(P)/\Omega_{i-1}(P)| = |\mathcal{U}_{i-1}(P)/\mathcal{U}_i(P)|$. In particular, $|P/\mathcal{U}_1(P)| = p^{\omega_1(P)}$.

Regular p -groups were introduced by P. Hall in [4] and included a generalisation of the basis theorem for finite Abelian p -groups which we shall need. A sequence $(g_1, \dots, g_r)$ of non-identity elements of a regular p -group P is called a **uniqueness basis** if each element of P is uniquely expressible as $g_1^{k_1} \dots g_r^{k_r}$ with $0 \leq k_j < \text{order}(g_j)$ for each j , $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Theorem (P. Hall [4, §4.5]). *Let P be a finite regular p -group. Then*

1. *If $(g_1, \dots, g_r)$ is any uniqueness basis of P then $r = \omega_1(P)$ and the set of orders of the elements of the basis is $\{p^{\mu_1(P)}, \dots, p^{\mu_{\omega_1(P)}(P)}\}$.*
2. *If $(g_1, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)})$ is any uniqueness basis of P then any element $g_1^{k_1} \dots g_{\omega_1(P)}^{k_{\omega_1(P)}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}_i(P)$ if and only if each factor $g_j^{k_j}$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}_i(P)$.*
3. *P possesses a uniqueness basis $(g_1, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)})$ and a chain $P = N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_{\omega_1(P)} = \mathcal{U}_1(P)$ of normal subgroups with the property that for each $i = 1, \dots, \omega_1(P)$, the element g_i has order $p^{\mu_i(P)}$ and is contained in $N_{i-1} \setminus N_i$.*

We now present the proof of Theorem 1. First observe that if P is any finite p -group and Q is a cyclic subgroup of index $p^{f(P)}$, then $Q^p \leq \mathcal{U}_1(P)$, and so $|P/\mathcal{U}_1(P)| \leq p^{f(P)+1}$. Therefore, if $p > f(P) + 1$, we have $|P/\mathcal{U}_1(P)| < p$, and P. Hall showed in [5] that this condition implies that P must be regular. Hence to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to show the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let P be a finite regular p -group. Then $\text{cl}(P) \leq f(P) + 1$.*

Proof. The case $f = 0$ is clear, so we may assume that $f \geq 1$ i.e. $\omega_1(P) > 1$. Let $(g_1, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)})$ be a uniqueness basis of P where g_i has order $p^{\mu_i(P)}$, and consider the element $g' = g_1^{p^{\mu_2(P)}}$. By regularity, each commutator $[g_1, g_i]$ has order at most $p^{\mu_2(P)}$ and so this implies that $g' \in Z(P)$. Now the quotient $\overline{P} = P/\langle g' \rangle$ is a regular p -group of order $|P|/p^{\mu_1(P)-\mu_2(P)}$, and for $2 \leq i \leq \omega_1(P)$, the order of $g_i\langle g' \rangle$ is $p^{\mu_i(P)}$ (because $\langle g_i \rangle \cap \langle g_1 \rangle = 1$). It follows that $(g_1\langle g' \rangle, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)}\langle g' \rangle)$ is a uniqueness basis of $\overline{P}$ and therefore the type invariants of $\overline{P}$ are $(\mu_2(P), \mu_2(P), \mu_3(P), \dots, \mu_{\omega_1(P)}(P))$. Hence the exponent of $\overline{P}$ is $p^{\mu_2(P)}$ and so $\overline{P}$ has coexponent f . Therefore by passing to a central quotient we can assume that $\mu_1(P) = \mu_2(P)$ and the result will follow if we can show that $\text{cl}(P) \leq f$ in this situation.

So let $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)})$ be a uniqueness basis of P asserted by part 3 of Hall's theorem stated above. Then there exists a normal subgroup N of P ($N = N_2$ in the above statement) with the following properties

1. $|P : N| = p^2$ and $\mathcal{U}_1(P) \leq N$.
2. $g_1, g_2 \notin N$ and $g_i \in N$ for $3 \leq i \leq \omega_3(P)$.

Now defining the subset K to be $\langle g_1^p \rangle \langle g_2^p \rangle \langle g_3 \rangle \cdots \langle g_{\omega_1(P)} \rangle$, we see that $K \subseteq N$, and since $(g_1, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)})$ is a uniqueness basis we have $|K| = |P|/p^2$ so that $K = N$. Now if $\mu_1(P) = 1$ it is easy to see that $\text{cl}(P) \leq f$, so assume that $\mu_1(P) > 1$, i.e. $g_1^p, g_2^p \neq 1$. Then N is a regular p -group with a uniqueness basis $(g_1^p, g_2^p, g_3, \dots, g_{\omega_1(P)})$ (note that the orders in this sequence are not necessarily non-increasing), and we claim that for $1 \leq i \leq \mu_1(P) - 1$ the following inclusion holds

$$[\mathcal{U}_i(P), \underbrace{P, \dots, P}_{\omega_{i+1}(P)-1 \text{ times}}] \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P) \quad (2.1)$$

To see this, fix $1 \leq i \leq \mu_1(P) - 1$ and first consider the following decompositions (using part 2 of Hall's theorem)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_i(P) &= \langle g_1^{p^i} \rangle \langle g_2^{p^i} \rangle \langle g_3^{p^i} \rangle \cdots \langle g_{\omega_1(P)}^{p^i} \rangle \\ \mathcal{U}_i(N) &= \langle g_1^{p^{i+1}} \rangle \langle g_2^{p^{i+1}} \rangle \langle g_3^{p^i} \rangle \cdots \langle g_{\omega_1(P)}^{p^i} \rangle \\ \mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P) &= \langle g_1^{p^{i+1}} \rangle \langle g_2^{p^{i+1}} \rangle \langle g_3^{p^{i+1}} \rangle \cdots \langle g_{\omega_1(P)}^{p^{i+1}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{U}_i(P) \geq \mathcal{U}_i(N) \geq \mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)$, and since $g_1^{p^i}, g_2^{p^i} \neq 1$ we have $|\mathcal{U}_i(P) : \mathcal{U}_i(N)| = p^2$ so that

$$|\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P) : \mathcal{U}_i(N)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)| = p^2 \quad (2.2)$$

Now for any elements $x, y \in P$, the commutator $[x, y]$ lies in N , and so since $P/\mathcal{U}_i(N)$ is regular, the commutator $[x^{p^i}, y]$ lies in $\mathcal{U}_i(N)$. Therefore $[\mathcal{U}_i(P), P] \subseteq \mathcal{U}_i(N)$ so that $[\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P), P/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)] \subseteq \mathcal{U}_i(N)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)$, and by using (2.2) we have

$$|\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P) : [\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P), P/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)]| \geq p^2.$$

Now since $|\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)| = p^{\omega_{i+1}}$, an easy induction shows that for any $j, 1 \leq j \leq \omega_{i+1}(P) - 1$,

$$|\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P) : [\mathcal{U}_i(P)/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P), \underbrace{P/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P), \dots, P/\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P)}_{j \text{ times}}]| \geq p^{j+1},$$

and then the claim (2.1) follows by taking $j = \omega_{i+1}(P) - 1$.

Now since $|P/\mathcal{U}_1(P)| = \omega_1(P) \geq 2$ we have $\gamma_{\omega_1(P)}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1(P)$, and then using (2.1) we see that for any $i, 1 \leq i \leq \mu_1(P) - 1$,

$$\gamma_{\omega_1(P) + (\omega_2(P) - 1) + \dots + (\omega_{i+1}(P) - 1)}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{i+1}(P).$$

Therefore, taking $i = \mu_1(P) - 1$ and writing, we see that $|P| = p^n$ this implies that $\gamma_{n - \mu_1(P) + 1}(P) = 1$, and so $cl(P) \leq n - \mu_1(P) = f(P)$ as required. $\square$

We conclude this section by constructing for any prime p and positive integer f with $p \geq f + 1$, a finite p -group of coexponent f and nilpotency class exactly $f + 1$.

So let $p \geq f + 1 \geq 2$ and denote by A an elementary Abelian p -group of order p^{f+1} . Now choose a basis $(x_1, \dots, x_{f+1})$ of A and consider the automorphism α of A which fixes x_{f+1} and maps x_i to $x_i x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq f$. Since $p \geq f + 1$, α has order p , and so letting g be a generator of a cyclic group of order p^{n-f} where $n \geq f + 2$, we can form the semi-direct product $P = A \rtimes \langle g \rangle$ where g acts by α . P therefore has order p^{n+1} and for $2 \leq i \leq f + 2$ it is not hard to see that $\gamma_i(P) = \langle x_i, \dots, x_{f+1} \rangle$.

Lemma 1. *Let $a \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $(g^k a)^{p^2} = g^{kp^2}$.*

Proof. By Hall's commutator collecting process in [4], $g^{kp} a^p = (g^k a)^p c_2^{e_2} \dots c_p^{e_p}$ where $c_i \in \gamma_i(P)$ and e_i is the i th binomial coefficient for $2 \leq i \leq p$. Therefore $g^{kp} a^p = (g^k a)^p c_p$ (since $\gamma_2(P)$ has exponent p), and then the result follows from the fact that $c_p \in \gamma_p(P) \subseteq \langle x_{f+1} \rangle \subseteq Z(P)$. $\square$

From this lemma we see that the exponent of P equals the order of g and so P has coexponent $f + 1$. Now observe that since g acts by an automorphism of order p and $n - f \geq 2$, the element $g^{p^{n-f-1}}$ has order p and lies in $Z(P)$. Therefore $\langle g^{p^{n-f-1}} x_{f+1}^{-1} \rangle \subseteq Z(P)$ and the quotient $P / \langle g^{p^{n-f-1}} x_{f+1}^{-1} \rangle$ is a group of order p^n which has coexponent f and nilpotency class $f + 1$, as required.

3. A LIE RING CORRESPONDENCE AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

As a consequence of Theorem 1, any finite p -group P with $p > f(P) + 1$ has nilpotency class less than p . This condition enables the group to be endowed with a Lie ring structure in which certain structural properties of the group coincide with the obvious structural analogue in the Lie ring. Questions involving properties preserved under this passage to the Lie ring therefore have equivalent formulations in terms of Lie rings and can sometimes be easier to handle in this setting. The statement of Theorem 2 is an example of such a question and we prove this theorem by solving the Lie ring formulation.

The Lie ring correspondence which we use was first discovered by Magnus in [8] and later, independently, by Lazard in [7]. For a prime p , let Γ_p denote the category of finite p -groups whose nilpotency class is less than p , and let Λ_p denote the category of finite nilpotent Lie rings whose order is a power of p and whose nilpotency class is less than p . The main properties of the correspondence are summarised in the following theorem.

Theorem (Magnus [8], Lazard [7]). *Let p be a prime, P a group in Γ_p and L a Lie ring in Λ_p . Then there exists a Lie ring $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$ in Λ_p and a p -group $\mathcal{G}_p(L)$ in Γ_p such that*

1. L has the same underlying set as $\mathcal{G}_p(L)$.
2. P has the same underlying set as $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$.
3. The Lie ring $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathcal{G}_p(L))$ coincides with L .
4. The p -group $\mathcal{G}_p(\mathcal{L}_p(P))$ coincides with P .
5. $\mathcal{G}_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_p$ are covariant functors between the respective categories.
6. The order of an element $g \in P$ coincides with its additive order in $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$.
7. Subgroups of P coincide with Lie-subrings of $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$, and normal subgroups of P coincide with ideals of $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$.
8. For normal subgroups H and K of P , the commutator group $[H, K]_P$ coincides with the ideal of $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$ generated by all Lie brackets of elements of H with elements of K .

Part 6 of this theorem implies that the exponent of P equals the exponent of the underlying Abelian group of $\mathcal{L}_p(P)$, and so the coexponents of these two p -groups also coincide. Parts 3, 4 and 5 of this theorem imply that for a fixed natural

number n and prime p , the number of isomorphism classes of groups of order p^n in Γ_p equals the number of isomorphism classes of p^n -element Lie rings in Λ_p . Therefore Theorem 2 is equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *For a fixed integer $f \geq 3$, the number of isomorphism classes of p^n -element Lie rings in Λ_p with additive coexponent f , depends only on p , for $p > f+1$ and $n \geq 3f$.*

For the remainder of this section we concentrate on the proof of this theorem.

We first recall some definitions. A derivation of a Lie ring L is an Abelian group endomorphism $\phi : L \rightarrow L$ where $[x, y]\phi = [x\phi, y] + [x, y\phi]$ for each $x, y \in L$. The derivations $\text{Der}(L)$ form a Lie ring with bracket given by $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = \phi_1\phi_2 - \phi_2\phi_1$. Each element $x \in L$ defines a derivation $\text{ad}_r x$ where $\text{ad}_r x : y \mapsto [y, x]$. A derivation ϕ is said to be nilpotent if ϕ^k is identically zero for some k , and is said to centralize $x \in L$ if $x\phi = 0$. We denote by $\text{Der}(L)_x$ the Lie subring of $\text{Der}(L)$ consisting of those derivations centralizing x . Given Lie rings L, M and a Lie ring homomorphism $\theta : M \rightarrow \text{Der}(L)$, we denote by $L \rtimes_\theta M$ the Lie ring on $L \oplus M$ with bracket given by $[l_1 + m_1, l_2 + m_2] = [l_1, l_2]_L + [m_1, m_2]_M + l_1(m_2\theta) - l_2(m_1\theta)$. $L \rtimes_\theta M$ satisfies an obvious universal property.

Now fix an arbitrary prime number p and an arbitrary positive integer f , and let L be a nilpotent p^n -element Lie ring of additive coexponent f . Then, as an Abelian group, $L = \langle u \rangle \oplus V$ where u has order p^{n-f} and $|V| = p^f$. Observe that $\mu_2(L) = \mu_1(V) \leq f$, and if $n - f \geq 2\mu_2(L)$ then $p^{n-f-\mu_2(L)}u \in Z(L) \cap \Omega_{\mu_2(L)}(L)$. In this situation, $\Omega_{\mu_2(L)}(L) = \langle p^{n-f-\mu_2(L)}u \rangle \oplus V$ is a nilpotent ideal of order $p^{\mu_2(L)+f}$ and the derivation $\phi = \text{ad}_r u|_{\Omega_{\mu_2(L)}(L)}$ is nilpotent and centralizes a central element of order $p^{\mu_2(L)}$ (namely $p^{n-f-\mu_2(L)}u$). It is then easy to see that for any generator w of the Abelian Lie ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z}$, there is a Lie ring epimorphism $\psi : \Omega_{\mu_2(L)} \rtimes_\theta (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w \twoheadrightarrow L$ where $w\theta = \phi$ and $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \langle p^{n-f-\mu_2(L)}u - w \rangle$. Observe that $\Omega_{\mu_2(L)}(L)$ is a Lie ring U satisfying the following properties

P-1 U is nilpotent, has additive coexponent f and $\mu_1(U) = \mu_2(U) \leq f$.

P-2 $|U| = p^{f+\mu_1(U)}$.

P-3 U possesses a central element of order $p^{\mu_1(U)}$.

Lemma 2. *Let U satisfy P-1 – P-3 and z be any element of U asserted by P-3. Then for any Lie ring homomorphism $\theta : (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w \rightarrow \text{Der}(U)_z$ where w is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z}$ and $n - f \geq 2\mu_1(U)$, we have*

1. *The subgroup $\langle p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w - z \rangle$ is an ideal of $U \rtimes_\theta (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w$.*
2. *The quotient $U(n - f, w, w\theta, z) = U \rtimes_\theta (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w / \langle p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w - z \rangle$ is a Lie ring of order p^n and additive coexponent f .*
3. *$\mu_2(U(n - f, w, w\theta, z)) = \mu_1(U)$ and $\Omega_{\mu_1(U)}(U(n - f, w, w\theta, z)) \cong U$.*

4. If $w\theta$ is nilpotent then so is $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)$.

Proof. The condition $n-f-\mu_1(U) \geq \mu_1(U)$ ensures that $p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w \in \text{Ker}(\theta)$, and Part 1 follows easily from this, $z \in Z(U)$ and $w\theta$ centralizing z . For part 2, the order of $\langle p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w - z \rangle$ is $p^{\mu_1(U)}$ and so $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)$ is a Lie ring of order p^n by property P-2. Moreover, $\langle w \rangle \cap \langle p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w - z \rangle = \{0\}$, and so $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)$ has coexponent f . For Part 3, note that $U \cap \langle p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w - z \rangle = \{0\}$ and then it is straightforward to see that U maps isomorphically onto $\Omega_{\mu_2(U)}(U(n-f, w, w\theta, z))$. To show part 4, we denote the k -th term of the lower central series of a Lie ring M by M_k and claim that for any $j \geq 1$ there is a natural number n_j such that $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)_{n_j} \subseteq U_j$. The case $j = 1$ is clear since $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)/U$ is Abelian, so suppose we have shown it for some $j \geq 1$. Then $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)_{n_j+1} \subseteq I_{j+1} + U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)_{n_j} \text{ad}_r w$. Using this as the base case for a second induction, it is straightforward to show that $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)_{n_j+k} \subseteq U_{j+1} + U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)_{n_j}(\text{ad}_r w)^k$ for any $k \geq 1$. Nilpotency of $\text{ad}_r w$ then implies that $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)_{n_j+k} \subseteq U_{j+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)$ is nilpotent. $\square$

Continuing to use the notation of the previous lemma, we have the following criteria for isomorphism

Lemma 3. $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z) \cong U(n-f, w', w'\theta', z')$ if and only if there exists a Lie ring automorphism π of U with

1. $z\pi = \alpha z'$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to p .
2. $\pi^{-1}(w\theta)\pi = \text{ad}_r x + \alpha(w'\theta')$ for some $x \in U$.

Proof. Suppose first that there is a Lie ring isomorphism $\eta : U(n-f, w, w\theta, z) \rightarrow U(n-f, w', w'\theta', z')$. By part 3 of Lemma 2, U can be naturally identified with the ideals $\Omega_{\mu_1(U)}(U(n-f, w, w\theta, z))$ and $\Omega_{\mu_1(U)}(U(n-f, w', w'\theta', z'))$, and w, w' both have order p^{n-f} in $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z), U(n-f, w', w'\theta', z')$ respectively. Under these identifications, U is invariant under η so that $\eta|_U$ is a Lie ring automorphism, and for $w\eta$ to have order p^{n-f} we must have $w\eta = x + \alpha w'$ where $x \in U$ and $(\alpha, p) = 1$. Therefore $z\eta = (p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w)\eta = p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}(x + \alpha w') = \alpha z'$ (since $n-f \geq 2\mu_1(U)$). Now denoting the Lie ring brackets on $U(n-f, w, w\theta, z)$ and $U(n-f, w', w'\theta', z')$ by $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]'$ respectively, it follows that for any $u \in U$ we have $u(\eta|_U^{-1}(w\theta)\eta|_U) = [u\eta|_U^{-1}, w]\eta = [u, w\eta]' = u(\text{ad}_r x + \alpha(w'\theta'))$, and so conditions 1 and 2 hold with $\pi = \eta|_U$. Conversely, suppose that conditions 1 and 2 hold, and define a homomorphism of Abelian groups $\chi : U \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w \rightarrow U \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w'$ where $\chi|_U = \pi$ and $w\chi = x + \alpha w'$. Since $(\alpha, p) = 1$, χ is bijective, and from condition 2 it is straightforward to see that χ is a Lie ring isomorphism $U \rtimes_{\theta} (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w \rightarrow U \rtimes_{\theta'} (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w'$. Now letting $I = \langle z - p^{n-f-\mu_1(U)}w \rangle$ and

$I' = \langle z' - p^{n-f-\mu_1}w' \rangle$, it is straightforward to see that these are both central ideals of their respective Lie rings, and $I\chi = I'$. Therefore χ induces the required isomorphism. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ be a partition of f with $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$, and suppose that $n \geq f + 2\lambda_1$. Then for any positive integer c , the number of isomorphism classes of nilpotent p^n -element Lie rings L where L has nilpotency class c , $\mu_2(L) = \lambda_1$ and $\Omega_{\mu_2(L)}(L)$ has type invariants $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, is independent of n .*

Proof. Suppose that U is a Lie ring satisfying P-1 – P-3 and whose type invariants are $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$. Observe that the additive exponent of $\text{Der}(U)$ is a divisor of the additive exponent of U , namely p^{λ_1} . Therefore, choosing $w_{n-f} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})^\times$ arbitrarily, we see that for any $\sigma \in \text{Der}(U)$ there is a Lie ring homomorphism $\theta : (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-f}\mathbb{Z})w_{n-f} \rightarrow \text{Der}(U)$ with $w\theta = \sigma$. Then from Lemma 2, the discussion preceding it, and Lemma 3, we see that for $n \geq f + 2\lambda_1$, the number of isomorphism classes of nilpotent p^n -element Lie rings L of additive coexponent f with $\mu_2(L) = \lambda_1$ and $\Omega_{\mu_2(L)} \cong U$, equals the number of isomorphism classes arising from the (finite) set of Lie rings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(U, n-f, w_{n-f}) = \\ \{U(n-f, w_{n-f}, \sigma, z) : z \in Z(U) \text{ of order } p^{\lambda_1}, \sigma \in \text{Der}(U)_z \text{ is nilpotent}\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, it is straightforward to see that for $n, n' \geq f + 2\lambda_1$, the bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(U, n-f, w_{n-f}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(U, n'-f, w_{n'-f}) \\ U(n-f, w_{n-f}, \sigma, z) &\mapsto U(n'-f, w_{n'-f}, \sigma, z) \end{aligned}$$

preserves the nilpotency class. Letting U vary over a transversal for the isomorphism classes of Lie rings satisfying P-1 – P-3 and which have type invariants $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, gives the required result. $\square$

We can now prove the main theorem of this section.

Proof of Theorem 4. For $n \geq 3f$, proposition 2 implies that given a partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of f with $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$, the number of nilpotent p^n -element Lie rings L where $\mu_2(L) = \lambda_1$, $\Omega_{\mu_2(L)}(L)$ has type invariants $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, and L has nilpotency class less than p , is independent of n . The theorem follows by taking this result over all partitions of f . $\square$

4. FORMULAE FOR THE NUMBER OF GROUPS OF ORDER p^n AND COEXPONENT 3 ($p \geq 5, n \geq 7$)

In this section we show how to use the Lie ring results of the previous section to derive the expressions given in the statement of Theorem 3 for $\Psi_{n,3}^p$ when $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$. We first need some notation. For a prime p and positive integers $e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$, we denote by $\Psi_e^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, the number of isomorphism classes of regular p -groups of type $(e, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$. If we now let f be a positive integer and p a prime with $p > f + 1$, then as a consequence of Proposition 1, any p -group of coexponent f is regular, and so for $n \geq 2f$, we have

$$\Psi_{n,f}^p = \sum_{\substack{\text{partitions} \\ \lambda \text{ of } f}} \Psi_{n-f}^p(\lambda) \quad (4.1)$$

The formulae given in Theorem 3 follow immediately from (4.1) and the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Let p be a prime greater than or equal to 5. Then*

1. $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1) = 23 + 2 \gcd(p-1, 3) + \gcd(p-1, 4), \quad n \geq 5.$
2. $\Psi_{n-3}^p(2, 1) = 5p + 30, \quad n \geq 7.$
3. $\Psi_{n-3}^p(3) = \begin{cases} 6, & n = 7 \\ 8, & n = 8 \\ 9, & n \geq 9 \end{cases}$

A determination of the p -groups of coexponent 3 has been previously attempted with a solution for $p = 2$ appearing in [9], and a solution for odd primes p being claimed in [11]. For $p \geq 5$, our formula for $\Psi_{n-3}^p(3)$ agrees with that given in [11], but our formulae for $\Psi_{n-3}^p(2, 1)$ and $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1)$ do not agree (in [11], the claimed formulae are $\Psi_{n-3}^p(2, 1) = 5p + 32$ and $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1) = 23$ for $n \geq 7$). The approach used in [11] is via generators and relations, but there is no check that the groups presented have the correct order. For example, the first group in the summary table for $\Psi_{n-3}^p(2, 1)$ is given by the presentation

$$G = \langle u, v, w \mid u^{p^{n-3}} = v^{p^2} = w^p = 1, [u, v] = u^{p^{n-5}}, [u, w] = u^{p^{n-4}}, [v, w] = v^p \rangle$$

and in this group we see that u generates a normal cyclic subgroup N which must have order at most p^{n-4} so that the automorphism induced on N by v has order dividing p (this is the case since $[v, w] = v^p$ and $\text{Aut}(N)$ is Abelian). But then G is a split extension of the cyclic group of order p^{n-4} by the non-Abelian group of order p^3 and exponent p^2 . But then G has order p^{n-1} and not p^n as claimed.

The only other paper we are aware of which considers specifically p -groups of coexponent 3 is [17] in which the quotients arising modulo the core of a largest cyclic subgroup are determined.

We now show how the results of the previous section are used to derive the formulae given in Proposition 3. The following simple application of the Magnus-Lazard Lie ring functors translates calculation of $\Psi_e^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ into a Lie ring setting.

Proposition 4. *Let p be a prime and $e \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ be positive integers. Then for $p > \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k + 1$, $\Psi_e^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ equals the number of isomorphism classes of nilpotent Lie rings of order $p^{e+\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_k}$ which have type invariants $(e, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and nilpotency class less than p .*

Proof. From Proposition 1, the condition on p means that any regular p -group of type $(e, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ belongs to Γ_p . The result then follows by noting that since the functors $\mathcal{G}_p$ and $\mathcal{L}_p$ preserve the order of an element, they must also preserve the type invariants. $\square$

We then have the following stability result.

Corollary 1. *Let f be a positive integer and p a prime with $p > f + 1$. Then for any partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of f , $\Psi_{n-f}^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is independent of n provided $n \geq f + 2\lambda_1$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 2 and Proposition 4. $\square$

4.1. Calculating $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1)$ for $p, n \geq 5$.

From Corollary 1, we see that $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1) = \Psi_2^p(1, 1, 1)$ for $p, n \geq 5$, i.e. $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1)$ equals the number of groups of order p^5 which have type invariants $(2, 1, 1, 1)$. The most recently published list of groups of order p^5 (that we know of) is contained in [6], and for $p \geq 5$ these are tabulated by their type invariants. From this list we obtain the formula given in part 1 of Proposition 3. Our formula therefore depends on the correctness of the classification of groups of order p^5 for $p \geq 5$. These groups have been the subject of a number of papers, among them [1], [16] and [6], and they all agree on the correct formula for $p \geq 5$. This formula is reiterated as being correct in [12]. The dependence on the residue class modulo 12 (not present in the formula for $\Psi_{n-3}^p(1, 1, 1)$ given in the paper [11]), is a contribution from the groups of order p^5 and maximal nilpotency class 4, and this dependence is in accordance with Blackburn's results in [2].

4.2. Calculating $\Psi_{n-3}^p(2, 1)$ for $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$.

From Proposition 4 and Corollary 1, we see that for $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$, $\Psi_{n-3}^p(2, 1)$ equals the number of nilpotent Lie rings of order p^7 which have type invariants $(4, 2, 1)$ and nilpotency class less than p . The proof of Proposition 2 gives the following procedure for calculating this number.

1. Find a transversal $\mathcal{U}_p$ for the nilpotent Lie rings of order p^5 which have type $(2, 2, 1)$ and possess a central element of order p^2 .
2. For each Lie ring U in $\mathcal{U}_p$, define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set $\{(z, \sigma) : z \in Z(U) \text{ of order } p^2, \sigma \in \text{Der}(U)_z \text{ is nilpotent}\}$ by $(z, \sigma) \sim (z', \sigma')$ if and only if there exists $\pi \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Lie}}(U)$ with $z\pi = \alpha z'$ and $\sigma\pi = \pi(\text{ad}_r x + \alpha\sigma')$ for some $x \in U$ and α coprime to p .
3. For each Lie ring U , calculate a complete set of representatives for the $\sim$ -classes.
4. For each representative (z, σ) , calculate the nilpotency class of $U(4, w_4, \sigma, z)$.
5. The required formula is then obtained by evaluating, for each prime p , the number of representatives which have nilpotency class less than p .

Remark 1. Step 4 is, in fact, unnecessary because the result analogous to Proposition 1 holds for Lie rings. Namely, given a nilpotent Lie ring of order p^n and additive coexponent f , the nilpotency class is at most $f + 1$. The proof of this is directly analogous to the proof of Proposition 1 and is given in detail in [13]. It follows that since we are only interested in $p \geq 5$, the required formula can be calculated after Step 3.

To find a transversal $\mathcal{U}_p$, choose $z, u_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and $u_2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and consider the Abelian group $A = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})z \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})u_1 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})u_2$. It is straightforward to see that for any element $v \in \Omega_1(A)$, there is a unique Lie ring structure on A with z central and $[u_1, u_2] = v$, and nilpotency of such a Lie ring is equivalent to v having no non-zero component in $\langle u_2 \rangle$. Therefore, the nilpotent Lie ring structures on A with z central are given by $[u_1, u_2] = \alpha_1 pz + \alpha_2 pu_1$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Letting V, W, X be the Lie rings where $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (0, 1), (1, 0), (0, 0)$ respectively, we see that any Lie ring on A with $\alpha_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is isomorphic to V , and any Lie ring on A with $\alpha_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is isomorphic to W or X . We can therefore take $\mathcal{U}_p = \{V, W, X\}$ ($V \not\cong W$ since $[W, W] \cap \mathcal{U}_1(Z(W)) \neq \{0\}$ whereas $[V, V] \cap \mathcal{U}_1(Z(V)) = \{0\}$).

In each of V, W and X , the automorphism group acts transitively on the set of central elements of order p^2 . Therefore, in Step 2 of the above procedure, we need only consider pairs (z, σ) corresponding to a fixed central element z of order p^2 , and so $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\text{Der}(U)_z$. We now consider Step 3 for each of V, W, X , and show that the number of $\sim$ -classes is $2p + 1, 3p + 11, 18$ respectively. By Remark 1, this then shows that the required formula holds.

4.2.1. *The Lie ring V .* Let the basis of V be ordered as (z, u_1, u_2) so that we can represent $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, A)$ by the ring of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

Observe first that the nilpotent elements of $\text{Der}(V)_z$ correspond to certain matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & pa_2 & a_3 \\ pb_1 & pb_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.3)$$

In order that such a matrix κ defines a derivation we need only check that $[u_1, u_2]\kappa = [u_1\kappa, u_2] + [u_1, u_2\kappa]$, and since $[u_1, u_2] = pu_1$ this condition holds if and only if $a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Now the inner derivations of V are represented by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & 0 \\ 0 & p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so since $\kappa \sim \kappa + \text{ad}_r x$ for any $x \in V$, it follows that we need only calculate the number of $\sim$ -classes among nilpotent elements of $\text{Der}(V)_z$ defined by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.4)$$

Now let $\pi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V, V)$ fix $\langle z \rangle$ set-wise so that π is represented as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ p\gamma_1 & p\gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.5)$$

and observe that $\pi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V)$ if and only if $\alpha, \beta_2, \gamma_3$ are coprime to p . Since $\langle z \rangle\pi = \langle z \rangle$ and $z \in Z(V)$, the condition that π preserve the Lie bracket is given by $[u_1, u_2]\pi = [u_1\pi, u_2\pi]$. This holds if and only if $p\beta_1z + p\beta_2u_1 = p\beta_2\gamma_3u_1$, i.e. if and only if $\beta_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\gamma_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore automorphisms of V fixing $\langle z \rangle$ set-wise are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ p\beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ p\gamma_1 & p\gamma_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \gcd(\alpha, p) = \gcd(\beta_2, p) = 1. \quad (4.6)$$

We now determine a system of congruences for $\sim$ -equivalence between two derivations σ, τ of the form (4.4). So let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ pa_1 & 0 & a_3 \\ pb_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ pc_1 & 0 & c_3 \\ pd_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if there exist matrices

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p\lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & p\lambda_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ p\beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ p\gamma_1 & p\gamma_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\gcd(\alpha, p) = \gcd(\beta_2, p) = 1$, such that $\sigma\pi = \pi(m + \alpha\tau)$. This is equivalent to the following system of congruences having a solution for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha, \beta_2 &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ p(a_1\alpha + a_3\gamma_1) &\equiv p\alpha(\beta_2c_1 + \beta_3d_1) \pmod{p^2} \\ pa_3\gamma_2 &\equiv p(\beta_2\lambda_1 + \beta_3\lambda_2) \pmod{p^2} \\ a_3 &\equiv \beta_2\alpha c_3 \pmod{p} \\ pb_1\alpha &\equiv p\alpha d_1 \pmod{p^2} \\ p\lambda_2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to the system

$$\alpha, \beta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1\alpha + a_3\gamma_1 &\equiv \beta_2\alpha c_1 + \beta_3\alpha d_1 \pmod{p} \\ a_3\gamma_2 &\equiv \beta_2\lambda_1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$a_3 \equiv \beta_2\alpha c_3 \pmod{p} \tag{4.9}$$

$$b_1 \equiv d_1 \pmod{p}$$

(4.8) can be ignored since λ_1 can always be chosen to solve this congruence given solutions to the others. (4.7) and (4.9) imply that $\sigma \sim \tau$ only if $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so this gives us the following two mutually exclusive cases.

1. $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

If $b_1 \equiv d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then the system can be solved if and only if $a_1 \equiv c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_1, c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If $b_1 \equiv d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then the system can always be solved. We therefore have $p+1$ distinct $\sim$ -classes in this case with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p\epsilon & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \epsilon = 0, 1, \dots, p-1. \tag{4.10}$$

2. $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

In this case the system is always soluble and so we have p distinct $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p\epsilon & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \epsilon = 0, 1, \dots, p-1. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore for the Lie ring V there are $2p + 1$ $\sim$ -classes with representatives given in (4.10) and (4.11).

4.2.2. *The Lie ring W .* We proceed as for V . First observe that any nilpotent element of $\text{Der}(W)_z$ can be represented in the form (4.3), and that any such matrix κ satisfies $[u_1, u_2]\kappa = [u_1\kappa, u_2] + [u_1, u_2\kappa]$. Therefore since the inner derivations of W are the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \\ p\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

any nilpotent element of $\text{Der}(W)_z$ is $\sim$ -equivalent to a derivation of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & pa_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & pb_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12)$$

Now let π be a matrix of the form (4.5) where the diagonal entries are coprime to p . Then the condition $\pi \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Lie}}(W)$ is given by $\alpha pz = \beta_2\gamma_3 pz$, and this is equivalent to $\alpha \equiv \beta_2\gamma_3 \pmod{p}$. We now determine the conditions for $\sim$ -equivalence between derivations of the form (4.12). So letting

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & pa_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & pb_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 & pc_2 & c_3 \\ 0 & pd_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if there exist matrices

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ p\lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ p\gamma_1 & p\gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\alpha, \beta_2, \gamma_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $\alpha \equiv \beta_2\gamma_3 \pmod{p}$, and such that $\sigma\pi = \pi(m + \alpha\tau)$. This is equivalent to the following system of congruences having a solution for the entries of π and m

$$\alpha, \beta_2, \gamma_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\alpha \equiv \beta_2\gamma_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.14)$$

$$a_1\alpha + p(a_2\beta_1 + a_3\gamma_1) \equiv \beta_2\alpha c_1 + p(\beta_2\lambda_1 + \beta_3\lambda_2) \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.15)$$

$$p(a_2\beta_2 + a_3\gamma_2) \equiv p\alpha(\beta_2c_2 + \beta_3d_2) \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.16)$$

$$a_3\gamma_3 \equiv \beta_2\alpha c_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.17)$$

$$pb_2\beta_1 \equiv p(\gamma_2\alpha c_1 + \gamma_3\lambda_2) \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.18)$$

$$pb_2\beta_2 \equiv p\alpha d_2\gamma_3 \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.19)$$

(4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) show that this system can be solved only if $a_1 \equiv c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_1, c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We therefore have two mutually exclusive cases.

1. $a_1 \equiv c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Choosing a'_1, c'_1 so that $pa'_1 \equiv a_1 \pmod{p^2}$ and $pc'_1 \equiv c_1 \pmod{p}$, we see that in this case, $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if the system consisting of (4.13), (4.14) together with

$$\begin{aligned} a'_1\alpha + a_2\beta_1 + a_3\gamma_1 &\equiv \beta_2\alpha c'_1 + \beta_2\lambda_1 + \beta_3\lambda_2 \pmod{p} \\ a_2\beta_2 + a_3\gamma_2 &\equiv \alpha\beta_2c_2 + \beta_3d_2 \pmod{p} \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$a_3\gamma_3 \equiv \beta_2\alpha c_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.21)$$

$$b_2\beta_1 \equiv \gamma_3\lambda_2 \pmod{p}$$

$$b_2\beta_2 \equiv \alpha d_2\gamma_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.22)$$

has a solution. The congruences involving λ_1 and λ_2 can be ignored since they can always be solved given solutions to the others, and so the system reduces to (4.13), (4.14), (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22). We have the following four mutually exclusive subcases.

- (a) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(4.20), (4.21) and (4.22) reduce to the single congruence $a_2\beta_2 \equiv \alpha\beta_2c_2 \pmod{p}$, and the system consisting of this congruence together with (4.13) and (4.14) has a solution if and only if $a_2 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_2, c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

From (4.13), (4.14) and (4.22), we see that a necessary condition for $\sigma \sim \tau$ in this case is for $b_2 \equiv d_2\gamma_3^2 \pmod{p}$ to have a solution for γ_3 , i.e. b_2 and d_2 must have the same quadratic character modulo p . It is easy to see that this condition is sufficient also, and so letting ν denote a non-quadratic residue modulo p , we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (c) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

In this case, a necessary and sufficient condition is for $a_3 \equiv c_3\beta_2^2 \pmod{p}$ to have a solution for β_2 , and so letting ν denote a non-quadratic residue modulo p , we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (d) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

In this case, necessary and sufficient conditions for $\sigma \sim \tau$ are that $a_3 \equiv c_3 \beta_2^2 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \gamma_3^2 \pmod{p}$ have solutions. Therefore letting ν denote a non-quadratic residue modulo p , we have four $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & p\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So summarising, in case 1 we have 10 distinct $\sim$ -classes. We now deal with case 2.

2. $a_1, c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

In this case, (4.13) and (4.15) imply that we must have $\beta_2 \equiv a_1 c_1^{-1} \pmod{p}$ in order for $\sigma \sim \tau$. Now if we have a solution to the system consisting of (4.13), (4.14), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.19) (i.e. the congruences not involving λ_1, λ_2), together with this constraint on β_2 , then λ_2 can be chosen to solve (4.18) (since $\gcd(\gamma_3, p) = 1$). Then choosing $\lambda_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we can lift β_2 to give a solution to (4.15) (since $\gcd(\alpha c_1, p) = 1$). Therefore, the system reduces to (4.13), (4.14), (4.17), together with

$$\beta_2 \equiv a_1 c_1^{-1} \pmod{p} \quad (4.23)$$

$$a_2 \beta_2 + a_3 \gamma_2 \equiv c_2 \alpha \beta_2 + d_2 \alpha \beta_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.24)$$

$$b_2 \beta_2 \equiv d_2 \alpha \gamma_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.25)$$

Now since we must have $\alpha, \beta_2, \gamma_3$ coprime to p , (4.17) and (4.25) imply that we have the following four mutually exclusive subcases.

- (a) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(4.23) and (4.24) imply that $\sigma \sim \tau$ only if $a_2 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_2, c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and either of these is seen to be sufficient as well, so that in this subcase we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Using (4.14) to substitute for α in (4.25), we see that a necessary condition for $\sigma \sim \tau$ is that b_2 and d_2 have the same quadratic character modulo p . This condition is easily seen to be sufficient also, and so denoting by ν a non-quadratic residue modulo p , we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (c) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(4.24) together with (4.13) and (4.14) always has a solution, and so eliminating α and β_2 from (4.17) we see that $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if $a_3 c_3^{-1} \equiv (a_1 c_1^{-1})^2 \pmod{p}$. Now for each pair (a_1, a_3) in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ there are $((p-1)/2) \times 2$ pairs (c_1, c_3) in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ satisfying this congruence. Therefore there are $|(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times|/p-1$ distinct $\sim$ -classes in this subcase. Letting h be a primitive element modulo p we have the following representatives.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^r & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^r & 0 & h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } r = 1, \dots, (p-1)/2.$$

- (d) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Using (4.13), (4.14) and (4.23) we can eliminate α and β_2 from (4.17) and (4.25) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 c_3^{-1} &\equiv (a_1 c_1^{-1})^2 \pmod{p} \\ b_2 &\equiv d_2 \gamma_3^2 \pmod{p} \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

A solution to these two congruences guarantees the existence of a solution to the whole system, and so $\sigma \sim \tau$ in this subcase if and only if b_2 and d_2 have the same quadratic character modulo p , and (4.26) holds. By a similar discussion to the previous subcase, we see that there are $2(p-1)$ $\sim$ -classes. Letting h be a primitive element modulo p (so that, in particular, h is a non-quadratic residue modulo p), we have the following representatives where $r = 1, \dots, (p-1)/2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^r & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^r & 0 & h \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^r & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & ph & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h^r & 0 & h \\ 0 & ph & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So summarising, in case 1 we have shown that there are $3(p-1) + 4 = 3p + 1$ distinct $\sim$ -classes.

Therefore for the Lie ring W , we have shown that there are $3p + 11$ distinct $\sim$ -classes.

4.2.3. The Lie ring X . Since X is the Abelian Lie ring on A , all inner derivations are 0 and the nilpotent elements of $\text{Der}(X)_z$ are precisely the matrices of the form (4.3). Also, the automorphisms of X fixing $\langle z \rangle$ set-wise are the matrices represented as (4.5) with the diagonal entries coprime to p . So if we let σ and τ be two elements of $\text{Der}(X)_z$ where

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & pa_2 & a_3 \\ pb_1 & pb_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 & pc_2 & c_3 \\ pd_1 & pd_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if there exists a matrix

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ p\gamma_1 & p\gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \gcd(\alpha, p) = \gcd(\beta_2, p) = 1,$$

and such that $\sigma\pi = \pi\alpha\tau$. This gives the following system

$$\alpha, \beta_2, \gamma_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (4.27)$$

$$a_1\alpha + p(a_2\beta_1 + a_3\gamma_1) \equiv \beta_2\alpha c_1 + p\beta_3\alpha d_1 \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.28)$$

$$p(a_2\beta_2 + a_3\gamma_2) \equiv p(\alpha\beta_2 c_2 + \alpha\beta_3 d_2) \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.29)$$

$$a_3\gamma_3 \equiv \beta_2\alpha c_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.30)$$

$$p(b_1\alpha + b_2\beta_1) \equiv p(\alpha\gamma_2 c_1 + \alpha\gamma_3 d_1) \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.31)$$

$$pb_2\beta_2 \equiv pd_2\alpha\gamma_3 \pmod{p^2} \quad (4.32)$$

(4.27) and (4.28) imply that we must have $a_1 \equiv c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_1, c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ in order to solve this system, and so we have two mutually exclusive cases.

1. $a_1 \equiv c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Writing $a_1 \equiv pa'_1 \pmod{p}$ and $c_1 \equiv pc'_1 \pmod{p}$, we have $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if the following system is soluble together with (4.27) and (4.30)

$$a'_1\alpha + a_2\beta_1 + a_3\gamma_1 \equiv c'_1\alpha\beta_2 + d_1\alpha\beta_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.33)$$

$$a_2\beta_2 + a_3\gamma_2 \equiv c_2\alpha\beta_2 + d_2\alpha\beta_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.34)$$

$$b_1\alpha + b_2\beta_1 \equiv d_1\alpha\gamma_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.35)$$

$$b_2\beta_2 \equiv d_2\alpha\gamma_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.36)$$

(4.27) together with (4.30) and (4.36) give us four mutually exclusive subcases.

- (a) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

The system we consider here is (4.27) together with

$$a'_1\alpha + a_2\beta_1 \equiv c'_1\alpha\beta_2 + d_1\alpha\beta_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.37)$$

$$a_2 \equiv c_2\alpha \pmod{p} \quad (4.38)$$

$$b_1 \equiv d_1\gamma_3 \pmod{p} \quad (4.39)$$

(4.27) together with (4.38) and (4.39) give us four mutually exclusive subcases.

- (i) $a_2 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_1 \equiv d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

The condition for $\sigma \sim \tau$ is given by (4.27) and $a'_1 \equiv c'_1\beta_2 \pmod{p}$, and so we have two $\sim$ -classes (corresponding to $a'_1 \equiv c'_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $a'_1, c'_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$) with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (ii) $a_2 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_1, d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

We have one $\sim$ -class with representative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (iii) $a_2, c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_1 \equiv d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

We have one $\sim$ -class with representative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (iv) $a_2, c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_1, d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. α and γ_3 are uniquely defined by (4.38) and (4.39), and then $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ can be chosen to solve (4.37). So we have one $\sim$ -class with representative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Consider the matrix $S = \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 & d_1 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$, and suppose first that $\det(S) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and σ is the derivation in the present case with $a'_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Since $d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, (4.33) is a multiple of (4.34) and so can be ignored. Then using the fact that d_2 is coprime to p , it is easy to see that $\sigma \sim \tau$. Therefore when $\det(S) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have shown that τ is $\sim$ -equivalent to

$$\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now suppose $\det(S) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and σ is the derivation in the present case with $a'_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then using (4.35) to substitute for β_1 in (4.33), and using (4.36) to substitute for β_2 in (4.34), we can replace (4.33) and (4.34) with

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 \gamma_3 - 1 &\equiv c'_1 \beta_2 + d_1 \beta_3 \\ d_2 \gamma_3 &\equiv c_2 \beta_2 + d_2 \beta_3 \end{aligned}$$

For any value of γ_3 these have a unique solution for (β_2, β_3) (and $\beta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$). Therefore $\tau \sim \rho_2$ where

$$\rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ p & p & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To see that $\rho_1 \not\sim \rho_2$, observe that the derived subring of $X(4, w_4, \rho_1, z)$ has order p whereas the derived subring of $X(4, w_4, \rho_2, z)$ has order p^2

(this notation was defined in Lemma 2). Therefore we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives ρ_1, ρ_2 .

- (c) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Given solutions to (4.30) and (4.35) satisfying (4.27), we can easily obtain solutions to (4.33), (4.34) and (4.36). Now (4.35) is soluble if and only if $b_1 \equiv d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $b_1, d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (d) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Given solutions to (4.30) and (4.36) satisfying (4.27), we can easily find solutions to (4.33), (4.34) and (4.35). Now using (4.36) to eliminate α from (4.30) we obtain $a_3 c_3^{-1} d_2 b_2^{-1} \equiv \beta_2^2 \gamma_3^{-2} \pmod{p}$, and this is soluble if and only if $a_3 c_3$ and $d_2 b_2$ have the same quadratic character modulo p . Letting ν denote a non-quadratic residue modulo p , we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So summarising, in case 1 there are 11 distinct $\sim$ -classes.

2. $a_1, c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

From (4.27) and (4.28) we see that a necessary condition for $\sigma \sim \tau$ is $\beta_2 \equiv a_1 c_1^{-1} \pmod{p}$. With this constraint on β_2 , given any solution to (4.29)–(4.32) we can lift β_2 to solve (4.28). Therefore the system we consider is (4.27), (4.30) and

$$\beta_2 \equiv a_1 c_1^{-1} \pmod{p} \tag{4.40}$$

$$a_2 \beta_2 + a_3 \gamma_2 \equiv \alpha \beta_2 c_2 + \alpha \beta_3 d_2 \pmod{p} \tag{4.41}$$

$$b_1 \alpha + b_2 \beta_1 \equiv \alpha \gamma_2 c_1 + \alpha \gamma_3 d_1 \pmod{p} \tag{4.42}$$

$$b_2 \beta_2 \equiv d_2 \alpha \gamma_3 \pmod{p} \tag{4.43}$$

From (4.30) and (4.43) we have four mutually exclusive subcases.

- (a) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(4.41) reduces to $a_2 \equiv c_2 \alpha \pmod{p}$ and so $\sigma \sim \tau$ only if $a_2 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $a_2, c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Either of these is sufficient also, and so we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) $a_3 \equiv c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Choosing $\gamma_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ arbitrarily, (4.40) and (4.43) define α , and then γ_2, β_3 can be chosen to give a solution to the other congruences. Therefore there is one $\sim$ -class with representative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (c) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

First consider the situation where $a_2 \equiv c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then from (4.41) we see that $\gamma_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so from (4.42) we see that $\sigma \sim \tau$ only if $b_1 \equiv d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $b_1, d_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore there are at least two $\sim$ -classes in this subcases with representatives

$$\kappa_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & p & 0 \\ p & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now let τ be an arbitrary derivation in this subcase and suppose first that $c_3d_1 + c_2c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then letting $\sigma = \kappa_1$ (i.e. $a_1 \equiv a_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$) it is straightforward to see that $\tau \sim \sigma$. Now suppose that $c_3d_1 + c_2c_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and let $\sigma = \kappa_2$ (i.e. $a_1 \equiv a_3 \equiv b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$). The condition for $\tau \sim \sigma$ is given by (4.27), (4.30), (4.40) and

$$\gamma_2 \equiv \alpha\beta_2c_2 \pmod{p} \tag{4.44}$$

$$c_1\gamma_2 + d_1\gamma_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \tag{4.45}$$

Using (4.30) and (4.44) to substitute for γ_3 and γ_2 (respectively) in (4.45), we see that (4.45) is equivalent to $\alpha^{-1} \equiv \beta_2(c_3d_1 + c_2c_1) \pmod{p}$. Since (4.40) ensures that the right-hand side of this is coprime to p , it follows that the system always has a solution. Therefore we have exactly two $\sim$ -classes with in this subcase with representatives κ_1, κ_2 .

- (d) $a_3, c_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $b_2, d_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

(4.41) and (4.42) can be ignored (β_1 and β_3 can be chosen to solve them given solutions to the others), and using (4.43) to substitute for α in (4.30), we can replace (4.30) with $a_3b_2^{-1}c_3^{-1}d_2 \equiv \beta_2^2\gamma_3^{-2} \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $\sigma \sim \tau$ only if a_3b_2 and c_3d_2 have the same quadratic character modulo p , and this is seen to be sufficient also. So letting ν be a non-quadratic residue modulo p , we have two $\sim$ -classes with representatives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So summarising, in case 2 we have shown that there are 7 $\sim$ -classes.

Therefore, for the Lie ring X we have shown that there are $7 + 11 = 18$ $\sim$ -classes.

4.3. Calculating $\Psi_{n-3}^p(3)$ for $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$.

From Proposition 4 and assuming the bound referred to in Remark 1, we see that for $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 7$, $\Psi_{n-3}^p(3)$ equals the number of isomorphism classes of nilpotent Lie ring structures on the Abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-3}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$. These isomorphism classes are straightforward to calculate directly by using the order of the derived subring as the principal invariant. We omit the complete calculations but illustrate the general approach by showing that for a fixed prime p and integer $n \geq 9$, there are three isomorphism classes where the derived subring has order p^3 .

So let u, v be generators of $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-3}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$ respectively, and suppose that L is a nilpotent Lie ring structure on $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-3}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$ where $[u, v]$ has order p^3 . Then $[u, v] = \alpha p^{n-6}u + \beta v$, and observe that since L is nilpotent we must have $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ (in order that $[L, L] = p^3$).

1. $\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. Write $\beta = p\gamma$ so that $\gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and let $u' = \gamma^{-1}u$ and $v' = \alpha^{-1}v$ where $\gamma^{-1}\gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}$ and $\alpha^{-1}\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n-3}}$. Then (u', v') is a basis of A and $[u', v'] = p^{n-6}u' + pv'$. So L is isomorphic to the Lie ring on A where $[u, v] = p^{n-6}u + pv$.
2. $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ and $\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$. Write $\beta = p^2\gamma$ so that $\gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and then letting $u' = \gamma^{-1}u$ and $v' = \alpha^{-1}v$ shows that L is isomorphic to the Lie ring on A where $[u, v] = p^{n-6}u + p^2v$.
3. $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$. Letting $u' = u$ and $v' = \alpha^{-1}v$ shows that L is isomorphic to the Lie ring on A with $[u, v] = p^{n-6}u$.

Thus there are at most 3 isomorphism classes, but in case $i = 1, 2, 3$, observe that the derived subring is contained in $\mathcal{U}_i(A)$ but not in $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}(A)$ and so each case corresponds to a distinct isomorphism class.

The calculations corresponding to the derived subring having order dividing p^2 are similar and will be omitted. The treatment for $n = 7$ and $n = 8$ is analogous. We now summarise the isomorphism classes which occur for any p and $n \geq 7$ by giving the value of the Lie bracket $[u, v]$ (obviously, u depends on p and n , and v depends on p).

1. Derived subring of order p^3 .

$n = 7$	pu	
$n = 8$	p^2u	$p^2u + pv$
$n \geq 9$	$p^{n-6}u + pv$	$p^{n-6}u + p^2v \quad p^{n-6}u$
2. Derived subring of order p^2 .

$n = 7$	p^2u	pv
$n \geq 8$	$p^{n-5}u$	$p^{n-5}u + p^2v \quad pv$
3. Derived subring of order 1 or p .

$n \geq 7$	$p^{n-4}u$	$p^2v \quad 0$
------------	------------	----------------

From this list we obtain the expression for $\Psi_{n-3}^p(3)$ given in Proposition 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.A. Bender. A determination of the groups of order p^5 . *Ann. of Math.* (2), 29:61–72, 1927.
- [2] N. Blackburn. On a special class of p -groups. *Acta. Math.*, 100:45–92, 1958.
- [3] W. Burnside. *Theory of Groups of Finite Order*. Cambridge University Press. Reprinted by Dover 1955, New York, 2nd edition, 1911.
- [4] P. Hall. A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 36:29–95, 1933.
- [5] P. Hall. On a theorem of Frobenius. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), 40:468–507, 1936.
- [6] Rodney James. The groups of order p^6 (p an odd prime). *Math. Comp.*, 34:613–637, 1980.
- [7] M. Lazard. Sur les groupes nilpotents et les anneaux de Lie. *Ann. Sci École Norm. Sup.*, 71:101–190, 1954.
- [8] W. Magnus. Über Gruppen und zugeordnete Liesche Ringe. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 177:142–149, 1940.
- [9] A.M. McKelden. Groups of order 2^m that contain cyclic subgroups of order 2^{m-3} . *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 13(1-2):121–136, 1906.
- [10] G.A. Miller. On the groups of order p^m which contain operators of order p^{m-2} . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26:383–387, 1902.
- [11] L.I. Neikirk. Groups of order p^m , which contain cyclic subgroups of order p^{m-3} . *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 6:316–325, 1905.
- [12] M.F. Newman. Groups of prime-power order. In L.G. Kovács, editor, *Groups—Canberra 1989*, volume 1456 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 49–62. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [13] Paul J. Sanders. *Prime-Power Lie Algebras and Finite p -groups*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick, 1994.
- [14] Paul J. Sanders and Tom S. Wilde. A Bound for the Nilpotency Class of a Finite p -Group in Terms of its Coexponent. Submitted for publication.
- [15] P.J. Sanders and T.S. Wilde. The class and coexponent of a finite p -group. Technical report, Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, 1994.
- [16] Otto Schreier. Über die Erweiterung von Gruppen. II. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 4:321–346, 1926.
- [17] G.N. Titov. Groups containing a cyclic subgroup of index p^3 . *Mat. Zametki*, 28(1):17–24, 1980.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, ENGLAND CV4 7AL

E-mail address: pjs@maths.warwick.ac.uk